File No. 110

19 31.

Collection No.

Mysore Residency

Bangalore.

Pros. Serial Nos. 1-4

Subject.

Memorial from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and Bangalore City for the appointment of Mutawwifs at the Hedjaz for the benefit of pilgrims from Mysore.

Previous References.	Later References.
F.No.74 of 1929.	

S. 97. (Blue).

DCFP—1658S&P—(M-1937)—19-12-32—60,000.

NOTES AND PRECIS.

FILE No. 110 / 1931 .

Section. File No.

Heading. Serial No.

NOTES.

DEPT. BRANCH.

Pros. 19 . Nos.

Subject.

Memorial from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and Bangalore City for the appointment of Mutawwifs at the Hedjaz for the benefit of pilgrims from Mysore.

Notes and Orders.

MG1PC—FP—89DCFMis.—(2028)—13-6-25—3,00,000.

S.N. 235 P.W.

Memorial dated the 20th Oct.1930, from certain Muhammadan residents of the Station and Bangalore City.

This is a memorial from certain Muhammadans of the Station and Bangalore City representing that Muhammadan pilgrims from the Mysore State are subjected to hardships and extortions in the course of their journey to Mecca. The signatories to the memorial request that Muthoofs may be caused to be appointed for the benefit of the State pilgrims as in the case of the several Provinces in British India and some of the Indian States.

'Mutawwifs' 20.11.30

The first signatory to the memorial seems to be the Muthvalli L.H. Ahmed Hussain Sahib who recently put in a complaint to cause certain recoveries to be made from Mr. Hashim Shalli at Mecca. The said complaint has been finally referred to the Commissioner of Police, Bombay for necessary arrangements to be made for the refund of the amounts to the Muthvalli.

L. S.N. 15 74-29 (L)

X S.N. 22 74-29 (F)

Many Muhammadans have signed the memorial and it cannot be said how many of them had gone on pilgrimage to the Holy places in Arabia.

Practically none - no one will omit 'Haji' on a certificate if entitled to it. 20.11.30

There is already a British Vice-Consul at Jeddah appointed in 1882 to safeguard the interests of Muhammadan pilgrims from India

(Bom.) S.N. 9 148-1886 Remarks

Please see S.N. 235; 236; 237; 238; regarding the action taken when the interests of Muhammadan pilgrims were concerned.

Perhaps the Mysore Govt and the Collector may be asked to ascertain the views of the leading Muhammadan citizens of the State & the Station.

Two drafts submitted for favour of approval.

Vide also S.N. 239 (L)

[illegible] being not confidential

H. Basappa 14.11.30.

15.11.32

19.11.30

AS

Secy.

'A' Where did Office get this ridiculous piece of information from? There has recently been a war and various changes including the establishment of a Legation at Jiddah.

(8)

Where is all the recent correspondence about the protection of pilgrims? Does Office really think that correspondence of 1886 amd 1906 is the last word in connection with the Haj! Please have this case properly examined.

20.11.30

Please see next page for revised notes.

Spoken - Mr. Baggallay to look up recent correspondence & put up a proper note.

(D)

Reference is respectfully solicited to pages 131 & 132 of the Quarterly List of the Foreign and Political Department corrected up to 1st October, 1930, which shows the various "British Consular appointments connected with India." It will be seen that there are at present H.M's Charge d'Affaires, and an Indian Vice Consul at Jeddah. Reference is also invited to S.N.19 of File 74 of 1929 which shows that in matters like this the authority concerned is H.M's Charge d'Affaires, Jeddah.

(10)

The Memorialists request that a Mutawwif be appointed solely for the convenience of the pilgrims of the Mysore State (including the Civil and Military Station) and add that, in addition to Government Mutawwifs, several Native States of India have appointed their own Mutawwifs for the convenience of their Hajees. There is no information in office regarding the Mutawwifs appointed by Indian States.

Para. 3 of S.N. 251 Q. & P.U.C.

(11)

Every year we have been getting from the Government of India Circular letters regarding the minimum expenditure likely to be incurred by a Pilgrim on Haj for the information of intending pilgrims, and we have been communicating such letters to Mysore Government and the Collector for giving wide publicity through the Vernacular Press, Haj Committees and other suitable agencies. (Vide S.N.508 and 512 148-1886 and S.N.11 and 12 521-1928. These Circular letters contain information regarding the fees payable to Mutawwifs (Vide S.N.508/a 148-1886) so that the pilgrims may know what exactly they have to pay and may not be subjected to such hardships as are complained of by the Memorialists. Further, articles 3 and 27 * (reproduced below) of the "Regulations for the Registration of Deceased Pilgrims and the Administration of their effects" define the duties and responsibilities attached to the post of 'Mutawwif'.

A. B. C. H.

V1)

* S.N.510/b 148-1886

G.

Article

Article 3:- The Mutawwif will be held responsible by the Government if the pilgrim becomes lost or if loss is caused to him through the Mutawwif's will or negligence. The Mutawwif must forward the necessary information about every pilgrim under his charge when required by the Government to do so.

Article 27:-Anybody who is proved guilty of deception, stealing or forging or cheating in the matter of the pilgrim's effects whether he is a Mutawwif or his agent, or of the Police or an employee of the Municipality will be punished by being discharged from his post, compelled to refund the value of the effects in question and will be fined a certain sum which the Government will impose on him together with the punishment it considers fit.

(12) It would appear from the above that the Hedjaz authorities are doing ~~any~~ every thing that is possible to safeguard the interests of Pilgrims.

(13) The memorial appears to have been got up by the 1st signatory (Muthavalli L. Hajee Ahmed Hussain) who seems to have some grievance against ~~Hajji~~ Hasham Abdul Rahman Shalli of Mecca, who is said to be a Mutawwif for the Madras Presidency now looking after the interests of Mysore pilgrims also. In this connection please see S.N.15, 18, 19, 22 of File 74 of 1929. The Memorialists suggest ~~that one~~ the names of ~~the~~ two persons, Syed Mahmood and Syed Muhammed Murzookhi, ~~be~~ for appointment as Mutawwifs for Mysore Province. The appointment of Mutawwifs rests with the Hedjaz Government as will be seen from the definition of 'Mutawwif' in article 1(5) of the Regulations at S.N. 510/b, and all that can be done seems to be for the Government of India to address the Hedjaz authorities in the matter, if they choose to do so.

L. F. D. E.

Para 4 of S.N. 23 P.U.C.

G.

The Memorial is addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy. As it seems necessary to enquire into its bonafides before forwarding it, the Collector, and Mysore Government may, if approved, be addressed

as

(14) as already suggested.

Drafts as revised may issue?

W Basappa
27.12.30.

27.12.30

1.1.31

A.P.

Secy.

I have spoken to Collector, to whom the file shd be sent u/o . He says that he will try to find out more about the matter.

The petition seems to have a certain amount of personal grievance in it and it is hardly right , I think, to start a matter of this kind (especially for subjects of the Mysore State) in a petition to H.E. the Viceroy.

Apart from that , I have considerable sympathy with the proposal : [illegible] Ibn Sa'ud has done a lot to try to improve the conditions under which the Haj is carried on , but the lot of Indian pilgrims is often hard, especially in the case of poor men. Many of the mutawwifs are rogues and extort all they can from pilgrims who are ignorant of the language and customs of Arabia. The journey is not too easy and the pitfalls for the unwary are many.

I should also like to know a little more of the two gentlemen recommended. But I do not know to what extent the Hijaz Govt. would listen to proposals for the appointment of specific individuals.

U.O.No. 157 dated the 10th Jan. 1931

Collector u/o 8.1.31

I have spoken of the Moplahs leading Muhammadans as the C.M. Station and my information is that it is strictly confined to applicants who want to get back to Hejaz

I thought it was a 'do'. GL 6.2.31

I doubt if the petition is bearing any support

GL 31.1.31.

Secy. Yo

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
22 JAN 1931
Regd No: 828
File No:

From para 15 ante.

In view of the Collector's opinion given above it seems hardly necessary to consult the Govt of Mysore in the matter.

It is for consideration whether the memorial addressed to the Govt of India may be forwarded to them.

N Basappa
29/1/31

Submitted for orders as to whether the Mysore Government may also be consulted before forwarding the -- memorial to the Government of India. This appears to be

be necessary (1) as the request involves the appointment of Mutawwifs for the Mysore Province and (2) as several of the memorialists belong to the Mysore State.

29.1.'31 (Borm para. 15)

(2) Can the memorial be withheld under the Memorial Rules?

31.1.31

The present memorial does not appear to come under the purview of the Rules about withholding of memorials addressed to the Govt of India etc. Those Rules apply to such cases - which are in the nature of an appeal against the orders of a Court of Law or the Local Govt relating to a matter of purely internal policy affecting persons or places under the political charge of this Residency.

To withhold the memorial appears to be purely a matter for discretion and does not appear to be regulated by the Rules referred to.

W Basappa
2-2-31.

Please see para 6 of the Foreign and Political Department Memorial rules, which mentions the cases in which memorials can be withheld by the Resident. The one under consideration does not seem to come under any of these.

2.2.'31 (1) Action may, in the first instance, be taken as in para. (22). Draft, as amended, may issue.

5.2.31

(21) Mysore as amended - and a separate file started. This has nothing to do with the ordinary correspondence about the Haj.

6.2.31

Issued No.1191, dated the 17th February, 1931.

Letter No.Pol.1102/GM.98-30-4, dated the 5th May, 1931, from the Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Please see S.N. 1 & 2.

~~It was expected that the Mysore Govt would give their opinion about the proposal contained in the memorial regarding the appointment of two persons of Bangalore as Mutawwifs at Hedjas for the benifit of the Mysore State Hajis. On the other hand they merely~~ The Mysore Government state that they have no objection to the request of the memorialists being complied with, if possible.

(21) The Collector's opinion is contained in para 19 of notes and Major Loch's opinion is contained in paras 15 to 18.

For favour of orders whether the memorial may ~~xxxfxrwx~~ be forwarded to the Government of India.

(from para 15)

W Basappa

18/5

(34) There is no option, it seems, but to forward the memorial to the Govt of India. The question is

is whether, in view of the Collector's opinion, we should support it. The Mysore Govt. do not appear to have considered the propriety of Mysore State subjects being signatories to such a petition.

20-6-32

Secy.

I should think we might ask Govt what any rules about State subjects petitioning the Viceroy. I don't see why they should.

If there are no rules in the Hyderabad please put up Memorial Rules & No 5/6

First up please

W. 20 6 32

Beyond the Foreign and Political Dept't Memorial Rules no other rules are traceable. These Rules do not prohibit State subjects from petitioning H.E. the Viceroy.

W Basappa 15.6.32

33. But please see para. (16) ante. Major Lack presumably meant that the proper course would have been for Mysore State subjects to move the Durbar to take up the matter with Govt. instead of memorializing the Viceroy direct.

34. In view of the Collr's remarks in para. (19) ante the memorial may be transmitted to the Govt of India, without any support for such action as may be deemed necessary.

19-6-32

Secy.

(35) Will Govt. Sec please speak on the 26th
Gr 24/6

Spoken

(36) Please draft & draw attention of Govt of Bom's remarks at para 4.
Gr 26/6.

Draft submitted for approval.

(37) Re Draft-state the position fully and it will be for the Govt. of India to decide whether the Hedjaz Govt. should be moved in the matter, as requested by the memorialists, or not. May issue?

7/7

7.7.31

Secy. Govt 8/7

Resident.

GTS 9.7.

S-N. 4

ISSUED No. 4981 DATED 14TH JULY 1931

S.N.5 (Pvc)

Letter No.F.197-N/31, dated the 19th August, 1931, from the Govt of India, Foreign and Political Deptt.

K. Please see S.N. 4.

The P.U.C. is submitted for information.

3. The contents of the letter may be communicated to the memorialists through the D.C. and in doing so ~~it is proposed that~~ the reason for the inability of the Govt of India to take any action, Viz: the present ~~being~~ is an inopportune time, may perhaps be omitted, as otherwise the memorialists may keep on petitioning the Govt of India. ~~without allowing them a free hand in approaching the Hejaz Govt at a suitable time.~~

A copy of the reply to the Memorialists ~~The P.U.C.~~ may also be communicated to the Govt of Mysore ~~and the District Magistrate, C & M Station~~, for their information.

Two drafts submitted for favour of approval.

W Basappa 8/9/31

After issue, the Dt. Magte. may see the file n/c.

10.9.31

13.9

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE, BANGALORE. 30 SEP 1931. Rec. 9324 File

U.O.No. 6899 dated the 22nd Sept. 1931.

Issued Nos. 6855-56, dated the 21st Sept 1931. and forwarded to the District Magistrate U.O.

4351-B.31.

28.9.31

CORRESPONDENCE.

To

His Excellency the Viceroy and the

Governor-General in India,

S i m l a.

Through

The Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

May it please your Excellency,

We, the undersigned Mohammadan residents of Civil and Military Station and Bangalore City (Mysore Province), beg to approach your Excellency with this humble petition.

Just as it is a Divine Command that Mohammadans should pray five times a day, so also rich people should go on pilgrimage to Mecca at least once in their lifetime. In the course of his journey a pilgrim should visit Mecca, Medina and Jiddah which are holy and sacred places for us. Numerous Mohammadans have been going from the Mysore Province on pilgrimage to Mecca every year. Several of us set out last year but in the course of our journey we were subjected to many hardships and inconveniences.

As many persons who go on pilgrimage are illiterate and quite ignorant of the rules of the 'Haj' cermoney the Government of Hajjaz have provided instructors who are called 'Muthoofs'. To cause convenience to Hajees each 'Muthoof' is put in charge of several Hajees according to the Provinces in India in which they live. In addition to the Government 'Muthoofs' several Native States of India have appointed their own 'Muthoofs' for the convenience of their Hajees. Since there are no 'Muthoofs' for the Mysore State the Hajees of the Mysore Province are left in charge of the 'Muthoofs' appointed for the Madras Presidency. As they are to take care of the Madrasees, they do not and cannot pay adequate attention to the Mysorians. Besides one 'Muthoof' for Madras Presidency by name Hashim Shilly is so rude in manners that Hajees of the Mysore Province suffered several calamaties and underwent numerous hardships owing to his neglect of duty. For every trivial affair he used to demand money from the Hajees of the Mysore Province and unless he was paid he would not help them.

them. Again with the intention of extorting money from the Hajees of the Mysore Province he unnecessarily detained them at Jiddah for about a month while several other Hajees who came late took ship earlier than the Hajees of the Mysore Province.

We, therefore, most humbly entreat your Excellency to correspond with the Sultan of Hujjaz to appoint 'Muthoofs' for the Hajees of the for the Hajees of the Mysore Province. With regard to their salary we beg to inform your Excellency that they are not paid regularly for it is fixed that each Hajee should pay them a stipulated sum which makes up their salary. To discharge the duties of 'Muthoofs' for Mysore Province we know there are two gentlemen by names Messrs. (1) Syed Mahmood son of Syed Hussain and (2) Syed Muhammed Murzookhi. They averted to a great extent the hardships of the Mysore Hajees last time and provided them several timely amenities and conveniences. They are the natives of the Hujjaz Government though Mr. Syed Mahmood is residing in the Mysore Province. Mr. Syed Mahmood knows Urdu language well. He says that he has even worked as interpreter for three years in Fis Sappers Regiment No.2 Company at Murat.

We humbly pray that your Excellency may be pleased to intercede on our behalf and grant our request for which we will in duty bound be ever grateful to your Excellency.

We beg to remain,
May it please your Excellency
Your most obedient subjects,

(Sd) Muthuvalli L. Hajee Ahmed Hussain
(Sd) Syed Ghouse Mohiyuddin,
Editor, "Al Kalam"
(Sd) Hajee Moulana Moulvi Gulam Mohamed
(Sd) Haji C. Abdul Khuddus.
(Sd) Yegnam Ghumma Abdul Razack
(Sd) Janab V. K. Obedullah
(Sd) Janab Haji Syed Abdul Gaffar.
(Sd) Janab Yegnam Mahomed Ali.
(Sd) Janab Rai Sahb Azam Moulana
Kazi Abdul Goffoor Sahib
(Sd) Janab L. Abdul Wahab Sahib
(Sd) Janab C. Abdul Rahman Sahib
(Sd) Haji Gulam Mohamed Shankottai
(Sd) V. P. Abdul Razack.

Bangalore,
20th October, 1930

Address.-
Muthavalli L. Hajee
Ahamed Hussain Sahib & Co.,
Skin Merchants,
Bangalore Cantonment.

(Sd) Abdul Sattar Sait	(Sd) P.A.K. Abdul Subhan
" Abdul Wahab Sait	" Gulam Ahamed.
" Abdul Latiff	" Haja Abdul Shukoor Hon
" Amma Abdul Khader	" Syed Ismail
" K.A. Salaman	" T.M. Azeezullah &c.
" Abdul Latheef	" Md Ghouse.
" K. Abdul Rahiman	" H.S.H. Habibullah.
" S.T. Abdul Subhan	" B. Syed Ahmed.
" K.A. Rahiman	" Ahamadulla Khan.
" V.M. Abdul Rauoof	" K. Abdul Hameed Khan
" M.A. Subhana	" Hussain Rahim Bei S.
" A. Shaik Mohamed	" Peer Abdul Wahab.
" S.R. Mohamed Ibrahim	" S. Abdul Gaffoor.
" M. Abdul Sathar	" &c. &c. &c.
C. Ku Sathar Khan	" Hindustani
" H. Mohaboob Shahib	
Syed Ahamed	
Syed Abdul Sattar	
A. Abdul Latheef	
C. Abdul Wahab	
Abdulla Ebrahim Co.	
T.M. Abdul Gaffoor	
Mahomed Hussain Shariff	
" T.M. Osman Sait Hon	
" Haji Abdul Rahiman Sait Hon	
" S.K. Abdul Subhan &c.	
" M. Abdul Kareem	
" Abdul Razack	
" Syed Osman	
" K.H. Abdul Khader	
" M. Md Zacharia &c.	
" Haja Md Osman Sahib &c.	
" M.A. Salam Abdul Hafiz &c.	
" Syed Abdul Aziz	
" Md Abdul Jawad	
" Abdul Khader Tagi	
" T.M. Abdul Gaffoor &c.	
" P.M. Azeezullah	
" V.H. Mohamed Yacoob Sahib	

DEPARTMENT. File No. 2

BRANCH. Serial No.

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

Class for telegrams — Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line† — *Only for Foreign Telegrams. †Not for Foreign Telegrams.

To be issued on | Issued on

1st Reminder

2nd Reminder

3rd Reminder

Heading:

Case or Diary No.

If post copy of telegram required

Date of despatch of above

List of enclosures 1 (7 sheets)

(Code word for telegrams.)

Copy should be kept & a note of the names made.

S.R. 23 / 1 Pvc

No. 1191 Dated 17th Feb 1931.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Subject:- Memorial from certain Muhammadans of Bangalore City and the Civil and Military Station for the appointment of 'Mutawwif' for Hajis from Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward (in original) a Memorial dated the 20th October, 1930, from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station and Bangalore City, in which they ask for the appointment in the Hedjaz of Mutawwifs for Hajis from Mysore, and to request that the Hon'ble the Resident may be favoured with the views of the Government of Mysore in the matter.

2. I am to ask for the return of the original memorial with your reply.

I have etc.,

Secretary to the Resident.

S. 5.

M&P&—81.-273(G)DCF—(G-319)—11-7-29—50,000 Pads.

1/47

3

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. Pol. 1102 & Spue
Gen. 98-30-4

Dated, Bangalore, 5-5-31

From N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

&c&c&c

Memorial from certain Muhammadans of Bangalore City and the Civil and Military Station for the appointment of 'Mutawwif' for Hajis from Mysore.

Sir,

S.N.2 / 110-31 (N)

With reference to your letter No.1191/74 of 1929 dated the 17th February 1931 regarding the subject quoted above, I am directed to state that His Highness' Government have no objection to the request of the memorialists being complied with if possible.

The memorial received with your letter under reply is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N. Madhava Rao

Chief Secretary to Government.

TG

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
6 MAY 1931
Pol. No. 4643
Secret No: 110-31

No. 4981 Encl. 1
110-1931.

From

The Hon'ble Lieutenant Colonel R.J.C.Burke,

Resident in Mysore.

To

The Political Secretary to the Government of India,

Foreign and Political Department.

Dated Bangalore 14th July, 1931.

Memorial from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and Bangalore City for the appointment of Mutawwifs at the Hedjaz for the benefit of pilgrims from Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward a memorial addressed to His Excellency the Viceroy from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and Bangalore City requesting the appointment of Mutawwifs at the Hedjaz to look after the convenience and interests of pilgrims from Mysore State. The Memorialists represent that, for want of separate Mutawwifs for Mysore State, they are put to considerable hardships and request that the Hedjaz Government may be moved to appoint as Mutawwifs for Mysore the two individuals mentioned in paragraph 4 of the Memorial.

2. The memorial was referred to the Government of Mysore and the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore. The former state that they have no objection to the request of the Memorialists being complied with, if possible. The Collector interviewed several leading Muhammadans in the Civil and Military Station and his information is that the memorial is got up purely to try and get appointments for the two persons above referred to, who want to get back to the Hedjaz. He doubts if the petition is deserving of any support.

3. The lot of Indian pilgrims is often hard, especially in

the

the case of poor men. Many of the Mutawwifs take advantage of their ignorance of the language and customs of Arabia and extort from them all they can. While, for these reasons, I have considerable sympathy with the proposal to appoint separate Mutawwifs for Mysore State, I am not inclined to support this memorial as I agree with the Collector in his view that the Memorialists are more interested in getting appointments for the two persons referred to in the memorial than in the removal of the disabilities which the pilgrims are said to be labouring under.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- R. C. Burne

Lieut. Col.

R E S I D E N T.

BJ

B

No. F. 197-N/31.

From

The Deputy Secretary to the Government of India
in the Foreign and Political Department,

To

The Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Dated Simla, the 19th August 1931.

Memorial from certain Muhammadans of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and Bangalore City for the appointment of Mutawwifs in the Hejaz for the benefit of the pilgrims from Mysore.

Sir,

S. N. 4

With reference to your letter No. 4981/110/31 dated the 14th July 1931, I am directed to state that the Government of India regret their inability to take any action in the matter as His Majesty's Minister Jeddah, considers the present an inopportune time for approaching the Hejaz Government with a request of this nature.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT MYSORE
BANGALORE
24 AUG 1931
Regd. No. 8/128
No. 110/31

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 685-S — Dated Bangalore, 28 Sept. 1931

From

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

Muthavalli & Hajee Ahamed Hussain Sahib & Co.
Skin Merchants, Bangalore Cantt.
Through the District Magistrate, C & M Stn.

Reference: ~~his letter No. dated~~ Your memo. Their memorial dated the 20th Oct, 1930

Subject. Appointment of Mutawwifs in the Hejaz for the benefit of the pilgrims from Mysore.

The Govt. of India regret their inability to take any action in the matter. ~~of approaching the Hejaz Govt with a request of this nature~~.

Secretary to the Resident.

W Narasappa 8/9/31

Q314/9 Vol 6

No. 6856
110 of 1931.

Office of the Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.
Dated the 20th September, 1931.

A copy of the marginally noted memorandum is forwarded with compliments, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for information with reference to his letter No.Pol.1102/G.m.98-30-4, dated the 5th May, 1931.

No. 6855 dated 20th September, 1931, from the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, to Muthavalli L. Hajee Ahamed Hussain Sahib and Company.

for SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

vdv.